CONCORSO PUBBLICO, PER ESAMI, A N. 1 POSTO DI CATEGORIA D POSIZIONE ECONOMICA D1, AREA TECNICA, TECNICO-SCIENTIFICA ED ELABORAZIONE DATI, PER LE ESIGENZE DEL DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE DELLA TERRA, DELL'AMBIENTE E DELLE RISORSE (DISTAR) DELL'UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI NAPOLI FEDERICO II (COD. RIF. 2001) INDETTO CON DECRETO DEL DIRETTORE GENERALE N. 437 DEL 30.06.2020 E PUBBLICATO SULLA G.U. IV SERIE SPECIALE – CONCORSI ED ESAMI – N. 53 DEL 10.07.2020

GRUPPO DOMANDE NON ESTRATTE PROVA ORALE DEL 24/09/2020

- ✓ Quali sono le potenzialità in campo archeometrico delle analisi in XRF?
- ✓ Come ottenere la rappresentatività di un campione massivo per le analisi DRX.
- ✓ La norma UNI EN 12370:2001 per la determinazione della resistenza alla cristallizzazione dei sali.
- ✓ Rappresentazione di diagrammi per la classificazione di una roccia vulcanica effusiva.
- ✓ Le analisi porosimetriche ad intrusione di Hg per la caratterizzazione dei geomateriali.
- ✓ Le analisi di laboratorio per la caratterizzazione di un materiale argilloso.
- ✓ Procedure di laboratorio per la preparazione di una prova per la determinazione della resistenza all'invecchiamento mediante nebbia salina (UNI EN 14147:2005).
- ✓ Utilità dei database cristallografici per l'identificazione della composizione di una miscela cristallina.

PER ORDINE DEL PRESIDENTE

IL SEGRETARIO DELLA COMMISSIONE

F.to dott. Pasquale PIROLLI

2.1.4 Imaging the past: visual reconstruction and analysis

The techniques for collecting digital image data, both two-dimensional and three-dimensional, that are suitable for analysis by powerful computer programs are nowadays widespread tools for everybody working in cultural heritage. One can laser scan objects up to the size of buildings and sites, or get the internal pictures of metre-sized objects by tomography in order to have the data for any subsequent virtual rendering of the scanned objects. Most data present end up in enjoyable animations of the object, the building, or the site meating and shifting under different light conditions on the screen while viewers == comfortably seated in front of the TV set or a laptop computer screen. The everall field of the application of information technology to cultural heritage is rapidly developing, so that we are talking about virtual archaeology (Barcelo at al. 2000) and the fourth dimension in cultural heritage (Stadtarchaologie Wien 2004). However, we should cautiously distinguish between virtual 3D rendering of real data, modelling of the data using virtual reconstruction and irtual reality, and the use of advanced information technology for research and management of the cultural heritage.

Taking for granted that virtual aids are important tools for the research and management in the cultural heritage, I see at least three points that need to be perly addressed and clarified: (1) authenticity versus virtual reality. Are we wking at real objects or models? (2) access and standardization of large databuses, which involves the integration of different kind of data, and (3) the use of itual reconstruction in active research.

Authenticity. From the point of view of the end-user, one of the major issues in the digital world is in distinguishing the real data from the interpretation. motographic images, laser scans of surfaces and 3D tomographic images are = easured data that can be nicely visualized using advanced computer graphics rendering tools. There is a whole field of research dealing with the producand treatment of these experimental data, which are designed to be stored digital form for future reference and long-lasting preservation, rather than to momote virtual access to museum, collections, buildings and sites (Lahanier 1914, MacDonald 2006). There are practical problems related to light sources, land accuracy, spatial resolution and image definition, relief detection and == asurement, data storage, and so on. Assuming that satisfactory experimental are available, then the problems to be faced during visualization are mostly wase of realism (rendering, illumination, texture) and the realistic models used graphical representation. Visualization in this context can be defined as process of creating a geometric representation of the regularity present in experimental data set: joining points with lines, fitting surfaces to lines, or "solidifying" connected surfaces (Gershon 1994). In this sense, we realize that safing a 2D digital photograph and producing a visual representation of the same surface from a laser scan or spectroscopic data are entirely different prosesses. In the second case, we are already dealing with mathematical models ine data and while, on one hand, the visualized reconstructed picture usually the simple photographic image, on the after hand this is a model of reality, showing a conceptual interpretation, or and of the possible theoretical projections of the model (Barcelo 2001).

(ALL.6)

This section focuses on widely occurring geological materials that were and are used in their pristine state, or shaped and fired to produce large elements used for constructions and tools. The large variety of geological materials (Rapp 2002) and their properties are treated in detail in geosciences courses. They are so important in the history of mankind that possibly every archaeologist or conservation scientist ought to have at least a course on geomaterials in his/her curriculum (Herz and Garrison 1998, Garrison 2003). Here we will use an extremely simplified scheme, treating materials from the point of view of the way they are used; that is solid rocks of different kind that are shaped into usable fragments, tools or blocks, and unconsolidated clay-based materials that can be plastically shaped with water and then hardened by fire (Table 3.2).

In the case of solid, consolidated, or naturally cemented materials (stones, rocks), the action of humans is simply that of selecting the right substance and shaping it to their needs. Size and shape depend on the application, and may vary from microartefacts (Dunnell and Stein 1989) such as small chips of obsidian and flint used for prehistoric blades and arrow points (Fig. 3.7), to very large stoneblocks used for buildings and statues, such as the impressive Preseli dolerite bluestones of Stonehenge (Williams-Thorpe et al. 2006), the Kachiqhat red granite and Rumiqolqa andesites used in the Inca walls of Ollantaytambo and Cuzco (Protzen 1985, Protzen and Nair 1997), the massive basalt blocks of Sardinian nuragi (Fig. 3.8), or the striking moai made out of the Rano Raraku tuffs by the Rapanui people on Easter Island (Baker 1993).

In the case of unconsolidated geologic materials, the role of clay minerals is fundamental, because their specific mineral properties make them the ideal material to be plastically shaped when mixed with water. The final shape is maintained through high temperature reactions and mineral transformations. The other clay-free unconsolidated natural materials such as sand and gravel are historically important mostly for binders and concrete, which are treated separately (Section 3.2), or as minor component (temper) of ceramics.

3.1.1 Lithics, rocks, stones

The systematic listing of rocks and minerals used in the past is not of interest here. The excellent volume by Rapp (2002) should be used for the overall understanding of the variety of geomaterials used in ancient times, and their detailed physico-chemical and structural properties can be found in all textbook on mineralogy and petrology (for example, Deer et al. 1996, Blatt et al. 2005). To keep up with the philosophy of the volume, only a few materials will be mentioned (Table 3.3) whereas the concepts that will be outlined are (1) how do we investigate geological materials, (2) what kind of information may we derive from the scientific analyses, and (3) is there a relationship between the properties of the employed materials and their use?

Rocks are assemblages of one or more mineral phases, and they are commonly investigated by the tools developed within mineralogy, petrology, and Tab Clas

Roc

and Cia:

> 25 26 36

320

200